

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

TERESA KAY SEABOLT,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B177374
(Super. Ct. No. CR49407)
(Ventura County)

Teresa Kay Seabolt appeals from a May 3, 2004 order revoking probation and reinstating probation with 130 days county jail. On February 13, 2001, appellant was convicted by plea of vandalism causing more than \$5,000 in damage. (Pen. Code, § 594, subd. (b)(2)).¹ The trial court suspended imposition of sentence, placed appellant on three years' probation, and ordered her to serve 90 days in jail, pay \$5,339.73 restitution, and pay a \$200 restitution fine. We affirmed the conviction in an unpublished opinion. (B149420.)

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

On January 24, 2003, appellant admitted violating probation. The trial court reinstated probation and ordered appellant to serve 180 days jail in the Forensic Alternative Community Treatment (FACT) Program.

On May 3, 2004 appellant admitted violating probation for failing to report to probation, failing to complete the FACT program, failing to pay restitution, and for absconding from probation during which time she was arrested on three new offenses. The trial court reinstated probation and ordered appellant to serve 130 days county jail.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues.

On December 23, 2004, we advised appellant that she had 30 days in which to personally submit any contentions that she wished to raise on appeal. We have received no response from appellant.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, Acting P.J.

We concur:

COFFEE, J.

PERREN, J.

Kenneth Riley, Judge

Superior Court County of Ventura

California Appellate Project, under appointment by the Court of Appeal,
Jonathan B. Steiner, Executive Director and Richard B. Lennon, Staff Attorney, for
Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.